PATENT COOPERATION TREA

IJTERNATIONAL	SEARCHING AUTI	HORITY						
To: MICHAEL C. BARRETT FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI, LLP 600 CONGRESS AVENUE, SUITE 2400 AUSTIN, TX 78701			PCT					
			WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY					
			(PCT Rule 43bis.1)					
			Date of mailing (day/month/year) 18 NOV 2004					
Applicant's or ager	t's file reference		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below					
UTFJ:041WO International application No.		International filing date	c (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year)					
PCT/US04/01836		23 January 2004 (23.01.2004)		23 January 2003 (23.01.2003)				
International Patent Classification (IPC)				25 Junuary 2005 (25:01:2005)				
IPC(7): A61B 5/05 and US CI.: 600/476								
Applicant								
BOARD OF REGE	NTS, THE UNIVER	RSITY OF TEXAS SYSTE	EM					
1. This opinion co	ntains indications re	lating to the following item	ns:					
Box No	Box No. I Basis of the opinion							
Box No	. П Priority							
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability								
Box No.	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention							
Box No.	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement							
Box No.	VI Certain doc	Certain documents cited						
Box No.	VII Certain defe	Certain defects in the international application						
Box No.	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application							
2. FURTHER A	CTION	•						
International Pr Authority other	eliminary Examinin than this one to be t	g Authority ("IPEA") ex	cept that this does PEA has notified the	be considered to be a written opinion of the not apply where the applicant chooses an International Bureau under Rule 66.1 <i>bis(b)</i> ered.				
IPEA a written	reply together, wh	ere appropriate, with ame	endments, before the	EA, the applicant is invited to submit to the expiration of 3 months from the date of rity date, whichever expires later.				
	ons, see Form PCT/							
3. For further deta	ils, see notes to Forr	n PCT/ISA/220.						
Name and mailing a	Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US			20011				
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents			Angela D. Sykes	Sheila H. Veney				
P.O. Box 14	150			Paralegal Specialist				
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230			Telephone No. (7	03)308-0873 Tech. Center 3700				
Form PCT/ISA/237 (2004)						

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US04/01836

Box No. I Basis of this opinion	
1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.	:h
This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	_•
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	
a. type of material	
a sequence listing	
table(s) related to the sequence listing	
b. format of material	
in written format	
in computer readable form	
c. time of filing/furnishing	
contained in international application as filed.	
filed together with the international application in computer readable form.	
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
i and a mercen of the feet of	
In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	
4. Additional comments:	

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US04/01836

INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING	101/0304/01030			
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Ru applicability; citations and expl				tep or industrial
1. Statement				
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-44		YEJ
	Claims	NONE		NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	NONE		YES
•	Claims			NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-44		YES
Transition, (iii)	Claims			NO NO
2. Citations and explanations:	* - 400			
53, and the intensity, column 5 lines 56-65, of the or Dreher et al. reference discloses diagnosing the eye method and apparatus for eye tissue/specimen. Further method and systems to said ocular tissue includor macular degeneration. Claims 1-44 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article can be made or used in industry.	e, it would have rthermore it is wing RPE/choroid	been obvious vell within the lal tissue and	s to one of ordinary skill in the e knowledge of an ordinary sk diagnose said disease includi	e art to apply the same tilled artisan to expand ng diabetic retinopathy
•				

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- (i) the claim is unchanged;
- (ii) the claim is cancelled;
- (iii) the claim is new;
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- 1. [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]: "Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers; claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
- 2. [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]: "Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11."
- 3. [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding new claims]: "Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or "Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."
- Where various kinds of amendments are made]: "Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14; claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added."

"Statement under Article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1))

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

It must be in the language in which the international application is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments and any accompanying statement, under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the time of filing the amendments (and any statement) with the International Bureau, also file with the International Preliminary Examining Authority a copy of such amendments (and of any statement) and, where required, a translation of such amendments for the procedure before that Authority (see Rules 55.3(a) and 62.2, first sentence). For further information, see the Notes to the demand form (PCT/IPEA/401).

Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide, Volume II.